

## REMARKS

1. Upon consideration of the Applicant's reply filed May 2, 2005, the Examiner has requested a listing of all claims readable on the elected species, including all claims subsequently added. In the Examiner's view, it is not entirely clear which claims the Applicant believes have been elected. Therefore, the Examiner has also requested a comprehensive listing of all claims that the Applicant believes are elected, so that the Examiner may safely conclude that any claims not mentioned are not elected.

2. Responsive to the Examiner's request, the following is a list of all claims the Applicant is electing for prosecution, along with a designation of the species upon which each claim is readable. The Application elects the following claims for prosecution in the event that no generic claim is found to be allowable:

Claim 13 – the Applicant believes that claim 13 is generic.

Claim 15 – the Applicant believes that claim 15 is directed toward Species II of the means for resolving image information.

Claim 16 – the Applicant believes that claim 16 is also generic, since it is broadly directed toward the means for storing image information.

Claims 17 through 20 – the Applicant believes that claims 17 through 20 are generic, since these claims are broadly directed toward aspects of the light-transmissive backplate.

Claim 21 – the Applicant believes that claim 21 is generic, since it is broadly directed toward a means for maintaining intimacy of a relationship without reciting a specific embodiment.

Claim 22 – the Applicant believes that claim 22 is directed toward the species of means for maintaining intimacy of a relationship that is illustrated in FIG. 18 and described in conjunction therewith.


Claim 23 through 33 – the Applicant believes that claims 23 through 33 are directed toward Species iii of the alignment means.

Claim 34 – the Applicant believes that the limitations of claim 34 are not recited broadly enough such that the claim could fairly be considered generic. However, the Applicant elects claim 34 for prosecution in the event that a generic claim is not found to be allowable. The limitations of claim 34 read on the elected species of the means for resolving image information (Species II), the elected species of the means for maintaining intimacy of a relationship (the species of FIG. 18), and the elected species of the alignment means (Species iii).

3. Examination of the claims on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Aug. 24, 2005

By: 


John W. Hayes  
Registration No. 33,900  
P.O. Box 365  
Arlington Heights, Illinois 60006-0365  
Telephone number: (847) 863-7247  
FAX: (847) 259-4588  
e-mail: johnwhayes@earthlink.net

**Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail**

I certify that this document is being deposited on:

August 24, 2005  
(Date)

with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

  
Signature of Person  
Mailing Correspondence

John W. Hayes  
Typed or Printed Name  
of Person Mailing Correspondence